

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                  | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR   | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.         |  |  |
|----------------------------------|---------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| MARGER JO                        | HNSON & McCOL | Jae-Yoon Sim LOM, P.C. | 9898-20 <b>1</b>        | 9898-20 7100<br>EXAMINER |  |  |
| MARCHE IONNICON & M. CONTON D.C. |               | M, TERRY D             |                         |                          |  |  |
|                                  |               |                        | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER             |  |  |
|                                  |               |                        | . 2816                  |                          |  |  |
|                                  |               |                        | DATE MAILED: 08/12/2002 | 2                        |  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |   |   |   | AL       |
|--|---|---|---|----------|
|  |   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |          |
| 1.2  |   | 09/901,930  | SIM ET AL.  |          |
| <b>∳</b> -r  | Office Action Summary   | Examin r  | Art Unit  |          |
|  |   | Terry D. Cunningham   | 2816  |          |
| Dori d fo  | The MAILING DATE of this communicat   | ion app ars on the cov r she t wit  | h the correspondenc address   |          |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA' sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after the displacement of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rejation.  ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become ABA | ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communic  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ation.   |
| 1)   | Responsive to communication(s) filed  | on  |   |          |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐  |   | ☐ This action is non-final.   |   |          |
| 3)□<br>Dispositi                                     | Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice on of Claims  | allowance except for formal matt<br>under <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D   | ers, prosecution as to the ment. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  | its is   |
|  | Claim(s) <u>1-63</u> is/are pending in the app  | lication.   |   |          |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) 25-63 is/are w  |   |   |          |
|  | Claim(s) is/are allowed.  | andrawn from consideration.   |   |          |
|  | Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.   |   |   |          |
|  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |   |          |
|  | Claim(s) are subject to restriction   | and/or alaction requirement   |   |          |
| Applicati  | on Papers   |   |   |          |
| ·  | The specification is objected to by the Ex  |   |   |          |
| 10)[2]   | The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 July 2001</u> is/a  |   | •   |          |
|  | Applicant may not request that any objection  |   | • •   |          |
| 11)[7  | The proposed drawing correction filed on  |   | sapproved by the Examiner.  |          |
| 40)□ 5   | If approved, corrected drawings are require   | , ,   |   |          |
|  | The oath or declaration is objected to by   | the Examiner.   |   |          |
|  | nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |   |   |          |
| _  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for   | foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §  | 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |          |
| a)L  | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:   |   |   |          |
|  | 1. Certified copies of the priority doc   |   |   |          |
|  | 2. Certified copies of the priority doc   |   |   |          |
|  | <ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation ee the attached detailed Office action for th</li></ol> | nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | •   |          |
|  | cknowledgment is made of a claim for d  | ·   |   | cation). |
| a)   | The translation of the foreign langua   | ge provisional application has be   | en received.  | <b></b>  |
| Attachment   |   | , , ,   | ·•  |          |
| 2) Notice  | e of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9<br>nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper  | (48) 5) Notice of In  | ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)<br>formal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |          |

· Application/Control Number: 09/901,930

Art Unit: 2816

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-24, drawn to a Charge Pump, classified in class 327, subclass 536.
- II. Claims 25-45, drawn to a Voltage Level Detector, classified in class 327, subclass78.
- III. Claims 46-63, drawn to a Voltage Regulator, classified in class 327, subclass 541. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as provide a well or substrate voltage and invention II has separate utility such as voltage detecting in a phase-locked-loop. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, their different required search and their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with James Stewart on August 6, 2002 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-24.

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 25-63 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 7, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Kumanoya et al. (USPN 4,961,007). Kumanoya et al. discloses, in Fig. 4, a circuit comprising: "a first charge pump (11-15)"; "a second charge pump (21-27 and 29)"; "a precharge signal (RAS)"; and a "level detector (29)", all connected and operating similarly as recited by Applicant.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2816

Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee (USPN 6,052,022) in view of Luscher, Jr. (USPN 5,600,551). Lee discloses, in Fig. 1, a circuit comprising; "a first charge pump (11)"; "a second charge pump (12)"; "a precharge signal (RAS)"; "a regulator (15)"; and a "level detector (29)". As seen in lines 1-15 of Col. 2 of Lee, clamp section 15 of Fig. 1 provide a clamping that regulates the output. It is also noted that the input and output of the regulator 15 are the same terminal.

In the circuit to Lee there is no explicit disclosure of using the alternate arrangement for a negative charge pump. However, it is notoriously well known in the art that many environments require a negative voltage rather than a boosted voltage. It is further notoriously well known in the art, as seen in Figs. 4 and 2 of Luscher, Jr. that to convert a voltage doubler to a negative voltage generator, it requires inverting the directions of the diodes of the charge pumps and changing the polarity of the voltage on the pre-charge diode (i.e., D1 of Luscher, Jr.). Therefore, to obtain the advantages of use in a negative voltage environment, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to converter the negative voltage generator in Yamamoto et al. to a voltage doubler.

#### Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Reference is specifically made to the references to Matano, Chen et al. and Kim.

## Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 08/06/01 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Application/Control Number: 09/901,930

Art Unit: 2816

Page 5

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Terry Cunningham whose telephone number is 703-308-4872.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Timothy P. Callahan can be reached on 703-308-4876. The fax phone numbers for

Technology Center 2800 are 703-872-9318 for Before Final communications and 703-872-9319

for After Final communications. Please note, any faxed paper clearly stating **DRAFT** or

**PROPOSED AMENDMENT** at the top will be forwarded directly to the Examiner. All others

will be treated as a formal response and acted upon accordingly.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

TC

August 8, 2002

Terry D. Cunningham

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2816